UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	X
RICHARD GOMEZ,	X :
Plaintiff,	: FIRST AMENDED : COMPLAINT AND
-against-	: JURY DEMAND :
CALL-A-HEAD CORP. and CHARLES W. HOWARD, jointly and severally,	: 08 CV 2872 : (Irizarry, J)(Mann, M.J.
Defendants.	: X

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. Plaintiff alleges, pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 216(b), that he is: (i) entitled to unpaid wages from Defendants for overtime work for which he did not receive overtime premium pay, as required by law, (ii) entitled to unpaid minimum wages from Defendants for hours he worked in which he was not paid the full minimum wage, and (iii) entitled to liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§201 *et seq*.
- 2. Plaintiff alleges that under the New York Labor Law, Art 6, §§ 190 et seq., and Art. 19, §§ 650 et seq. (collectively "NYLL"), he is entitled to unpaid overtime wages from Defendants for his work beyond 40 hours per week; unpaid minimum wages from Defendants for all hours worked; unpaid spread of hours payments from Defendants for each day he worked 10 or more hours; and liquidated damages equal to 25 percent of his unpaid minimum wages, spread of hours, and overtime wages; and attorneys fees and costs.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337, 1343, and supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367. In addition, the Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims under the FLSA pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
 - 4. Venue is proper in the Eastern District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391.
- 5. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

THE PARTIES

- Plaintiff, Richard Gomez, was at all relevant times, an adult individual, residing in Kings County.
- 7. Upon information and belief, Defendant Call-A-Head Corp. is a for-profit corporation, with its principal place of business at 304 Cross Bay Blvd, Broad Channel, New York, County of Queens.
- 8. Upon information and belief, Defendant Charles W. Howard is an individual, residing in New York State.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 9. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was employed by Defendants to refill water tanks in portable toilets owned by Defendants.
- 10. Plaintiff was not compensated for his work over forty (40) hours per workweek.

- 11. Plaintiff often worked in excess of forty (40) hours a week, yet the Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiff overtime compensation of one and one-half times the applicable minimum wage, and for an extra hour in each day Plaintiff worked over ten (10) or more hours, in violation of the FLSA and the New York Labor Law.
- 12. Plaintiff was employed by the Defendants from approximately April 29, 2006 until approximately June 9, 2008.
- 13. Throughout all relevant time periods, upon information and belief, the Defendants failed to post or keep posted a notice explaining the minimum wage and overtime pay rights provided by the FLSA and New York Labor Law.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF: FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

- 14. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 15 as if they were set forth again herein.
- 15. At all relevant times, upon information and belief, Defendants were employers engaged in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce, within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207(a).
- 16. At all relevant times, Defendants employed Plaintiff within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 17. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendants had gross revenues in excess of \$500,000.
 - 18. Upon information and belief, Defendants employed two or more

employees during the time of Plaintiff's employment with Defendants.

19. Plaintiff consents in writing to be a party to this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

FLSA: FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME

- 20. At all relevant times, the Defendants had a policy and practice of refusing to pay overtime compensation to Plaintiff for his hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek.
- 21. As a result of the Defendants' willful failure to lawfully compensate Plaintiff at a rate not less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for work performed in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek, the Defendants have violated the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.*, including 29 U.S.C. §§ 207(a)(1) and 215(a).
- 22. As a result of the Defendants' failure to record, report, credit and/or compensate Plaintiff, the Defendants have failed to make, keep and preserve records with respect to Plaintiff sufficient to determine the wages, hours and other conditions and practices of employment in violation of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, *et seq.*, including 29 U.S.C. §§ 211(c) and 215(a).
- 23. The foregoing conduct, as alleged, constitutes a willful violation of the FLSA within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

FLSA: UNPAID MINIMUM WAGES

- 24. Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiff at the applicable minimum wage for every hour over forty (40) worked, contrary to the requirements of Section 6 of FLSA (29 U.S.C. §206).
- 25. Due to the Defendants' FLSA violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from the Defendants his unpaid overtime compensation, an additional amount equal as liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF: NEW YORK LABOR LAW

- 26. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 25 as if they were set forth again herein.
- 27. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was employed by the Defendants within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§ 2 and 651.
- 28. At all times relevant to this action, the state minimum wage was \$6.75 per hour beginning January 1, 2006, and \$7.15 per hour, beginning January 1, 2007, as codified by N.Y. Labor Law § 652(1).
- 29. Defendants willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by failing to pay him the applicable minimum wage for each hour Plaintiff worked over forty (40), in violation of New York Labor Law § 592(1).
 - 30. Defendants willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by failing to pay him

overtime compensation at a rate not less than one and one-half times his hourly rate for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek.

- 31. Defendants willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by failing to pay him an additional hour of pay, at the applicable minimum wage, for each day in which Plaintiff worked ten (10) or more hours, in violation of the New York Labor Law and its regulations.
- 32. Due to the Defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants his unpaid overtime compensation, damages for unreasonably delayed payment of wages, reasonable attorney's fees, and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to New York Labor Law § 663(1)

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

- a) A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;
- b) An injunction against the Defendants and its officers, agents, successors, employees, representatives and any and all persons acting in concert with it, as provided by law, from engaging in each of the unlawful practices, policies and patterns set forth herein;
- c) An award of unpaid overtime compensation and minimum wage compensation due under the FLSA.;

d) An award of liquidated damages as a result of the Defendants' willful

failure to pay overtime compensation and proper minimum wage compensation pursuant

to 29 U.S.C. § 216;

e) An award of unpaid minimum wage compensation, overtime

compensation and spread of hours compensation due under the New York Labor Law;

f) An award of liquidated damages as a result of the Defendants' willful

failure to pay minimum wage compensation, overtime compensation, and spread of hours

compensation, pursuant to the New York Labor Law.

g) An award of prejudgment and post-judgment interest;

h) An award of costs and expenses of this action together with reasonable

attorney's and expert fees; and

i) Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff demands

a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by the complaint.

Dated: New York, New York January 15, 2009

By: /s/

Justin A. Zeller (JZ 7094)

THE LAW OFFICE OF JUSTIN A. ZELLER, P.C.

11 East Broadway, Suite 9C New York, New York 10038

Telephone: (212) 229-2249

Facsimile: (212) 229-2246

Email: jazeller@zellerlegal.com